

# CBE507 LECTURE IV Multivariable and Optimal Control

Professor Dae Ryook Yang

Fall 2013  
Dept. of Chemical and Biological Engineering  
Korea University

CBE495 Process Control Application

Korea University IV -1

## Time-Varying Optimal Control

### • Cost function

– A discrete plant:  $\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \Phi\mathbf{x}(k) + \Gamma\mathbf{u}(k)$

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}(k)} J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N [\mathbf{x}^T(k) \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{u}^T(k) \mathbf{Q}_2 \mathbf{u}(k)]$$

- $\mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  are nonnegative symmetric weighting matrix
- Plant model works as constraints.

### • Lagrange multiplier: $\lambda(k)$

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}(k), \mathbf{x}(k), \lambda(k)} J = \sum_{k=0}^N \left[ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T(k) \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x}(k) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^T(k) \mathbf{Q}_2 \mathbf{u}(k) + \lambda^T(k+1) (-\mathbf{x}(k+1) + \Phi\mathbf{x}(k) + \Gamma\mathbf{u}(k)) \right]$$

– minimization  $\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{u}(k)} = \mathbf{u}^T(k) \mathbf{Q}_2 + \lambda^T(k+1) \Gamma = 0$  (control equations)

$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}(k+1)} = -\mathbf{x}(k+1) + \Phi\mathbf{x}(k) + \Gamma\mathbf{u}(k) = 0$  (state equations)

$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}(k)} = \mathbf{x}^T(k) \mathbf{Q}_1 - \lambda^T(k) + \lambda^T(k+1) \Phi = 0$  (adjoint equations)

CBE495 Process Control Application

Korea University IV -3

## Decoupling

### • Handling MIMO processes

- MIMO process can be converted into SISO process.
  - Neglect some features to get SISO model
  - Cannot be done always
- Decouple the control gain matrix  $\mathbf{K}$  and estimator gain  $\mathbf{L}$ .
  - Depending on the importance, neglect some gains.
  - Simpler
  - Performance degradation
  - Examples

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} & K_{13} & K_{14} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} & K_{23} & K_{24} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{23} & K_{24} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1(k+1) \\ \mathbf{x}_2(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{e1} & \Phi_{e2} \\ \Phi_{s1} & \Phi_{s2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1(k) \\ \mathbf{x}_2(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_e \\ \Gamma_s \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}(k) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1(k+1) \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{e1} & \Phi_{e2} \\ \Phi_{s1} & \Phi_{s2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_1(k) \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}}_2(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_e \\ \Gamma_s \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}(k) + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{L}_e(y_1 - \bar{y}_1) \\ \mathbf{L}_s(y_2 - \bar{y}_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

CBE495 Process Control Application

Korea University IV -2

– Control law:  $\mathbf{u}(k) = -\mathbf{Q}_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \lambda(k+1)$

– Lagrange multiplier update:

$$\lambda(k) = \Phi^T \lambda(k+1) + \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x}(k) \Rightarrow \lambda(k+1) = \Phi^{-T} \lambda(k) - \Phi^{-T} \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x}(k)$$

– Optimal control problem (Two-point boundary-value problem)

- $\mathbf{x}(0)$  and  $\mathbf{u}(0)$  are known, but  $\lambda(0)$  is unknown.
- Since  $\mathbf{u}(N)$  has no effect on  $\mathbf{x}(N)$ ,  $\lambda(N+1)=0$ .

$$\mathbf{x}(k) = \Phi\mathbf{x}(k-1) + \Gamma\mathbf{u}(k-1) \quad \text{Boundary Conditions}$$

$$\lambda(k+1) = \Phi^{-T} \lambda(k) - \Phi^{-T} \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x}(k) \quad \lambda(N) = \mathbf{Q}_1 \mathbf{x}(N)$$

$$\mathbf{u}(k) = -\mathbf{Q}_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \lambda(k+1) \quad \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$$

- If  $N$  is decided,  $\mathbf{u}(k)$  will be obtained by solving above two-point boundary-value problem. (Not easy)
- The obtained solution,  $\mathbf{u}(k)$  is the optimal control policy.

CBE495 Process Control Application

Korea University IV -4

- **Sweep method (by Bryson and Ho, 1975)**

- Assume  $\lambda(k) = S(k)x(k)$ .
- $Q_2 u(k) = -\Gamma^T S(k+1)x(k+1) = -\Gamma^T S(k+1)(\Phi x(k) + \Gamma u(k))$
- $\Rightarrow u(k) = -(Q_2 + \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Gamma)^{-1} \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Phi x(k) = -R^{-1} \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Phi x(k)$
- where  $R = Q_2 + \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Gamma$

- **Solution of S(k)**

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(k) &= \Phi^T \lambda(k+1) + Q_1 x(k) \Rightarrow S(k)x(k) = \Phi^T S(k+1)x(k+1) + Q_1 x(k) \\ \Rightarrow S(k)x(k) &= \Phi^T S(k+1)(\Phi x(k) - \Gamma R^{-1} \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Phi x(k)) + Q_1 x(k) \\ \Rightarrow [S(k) - \Phi^T S(k+1)\Phi + \Phi^T S(k+1)\Gamma R^{-1} \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Phi - Q_1] x(k) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- **Discrete Riccati equation**

- $S(k) = \Phi^T [S(k+1) - S(k+1)\Gamma R^{-1} \Gamma^T S(k+1)]\Phi + Q_1$
- **Single boundary condition:**  $S(N) = Q_1$ .
- **The recursive equation must be solved backward.**

- **Optimal time-varying feedback gain, K(k)**

- $u(k) = -K(k)x(k)$
- where  $K(k) = [Q_2 + \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Gamma]^{-1} \Gamma^T S(k+1)\Phi$
- **The optimal gain, K(k), changes at each time but can be pre-computed if N is known.**
- **It is independent of x(0).**

- **Optimal cost function value**

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N [x^T(k) Q_1 x(k) + u^T(k) Q_2 u(k) - \lambda^T(k+1)x(k+1) + (\lambda^T(k) - Q_1)x(k) - u^T(k) Q_2 u(k)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N [\lambda^T(k)x(k) - \lambda^T(k+1)x(k+1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T(0)x(0) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T(N+1)x(N+1) = \frac{1}{2} \lambda^T(0)x(0) = \frac{1}{2} x^T(0) S(0) x(0) \end{aligned}$$

## LQR Steady-State Optimal Control

- **Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)**

- Infinite time problem of regulation case
- LQR applies to linear systems with quadratic cost function.
- Algebraic Riccati Equation (ARE)

$$\begin{aligned} S_x &= \Phi^T [S_x - S_x \Gamma R^{-1} \Gamma^T S_x] \Phi + Q_1 \\ \bullet \text{ ARE has two solutions and the right solution should be positive definite. } (J = x^T(0)S(0)x(0) \text{ is positive}) \\ \bullet \text{ Numerical solution should be seek except very few cases.} \end{aligned}$$

- **Hamilton's equations or Euler-Lagrange equations**

$$\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= \Phi x(k) + \Gamma u(k) = \Phi x(k) - \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \lambda(k+1) \\ \lambda(k+1) &= \Phi^T \lambda(k) - \Phi^T Q_1 x(k) \\ \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x(k+1) \\ \lambda(k+1) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \Phi + \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \Phi^{-T} Q_1 & -\Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \Phi^{-T} \\ -\Phi^T Q_1 & \Phi^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ \lambda(k) \end{bmatrix} : \text{System dynamics} \end{aligned}$$

Hamiltonian matrix, H,

- **Hamiltonian matrix has 2n eigenvalues. (n stable + n unstable)**

- Using z-transform
- $$\begin{aligned} zX(z) &= \Phi X(z) + \Gamma U(z) \\ U(z) &= -z Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \Lambda(z) \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} zI - \Phi & \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \\ -Q_1 & z^{-1}I - \Phi^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X(z) \\ z\Lambda(z) \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\ \Lambda(z) &= Q_1 X(z) + z \Phi^T \Lambda(z) \end{aligned}$$
- Characteristic equation
- $$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{bmatrix} zI - \Phi & \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \\ -Q_1 & z^{-1}I - \Phi^T \end{bmatrix} &= \det \begin{bmatrix} zI - \Phi & \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \\ 0 & z^{-1}I - \Phi^T + Q_1(zI - \Phi)^{-1} \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T \end{bmatrix} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \det(zI - \Phi) \det((z^{-1}I - \Phi^T)[I + (z^{-1}I - \Phi^T)^{-1} Q_1(zI - \Phi)^{-1} \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T]) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \det(zI - \Phi) \det(z^{-1}I - \Phi^T) \det(I + (z^{-1}I - \Phi^T)^{-1} Q_1(zI - \Phi)^{-1} \Gamma Q_2^{-1} \Gamma^T) = 0 \\ &- \det(zI - \Phi) = \alpha(z) \text{ is the plant characteristics and } \det(z^{-1}I - \Phi^T) = \alpha(z^{-1}). \\ &- \text{Called "Reciprocal Root properties"} \end{aligned}$$

- The system dynamics using  $u(k) = -K_x x(k)$  will have n stable poles.

• **Eigenvalue Decomposition of Hamiltonian matrix**

– Assume that the Hamiltonian matrix,  $H_c$ , is diagonalizable.

$$H_c^* = W^{-1} H_c W = \begin{bmatrix} E^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix}$$

– Eigenvectors of  $H_c$  (transformation matrix):  $W = \begin{bmatrix} X_I & X_O \\ \Lambda_I & \Lambda_O \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = W^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = W \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_I & X_O \\ \Lambda_I & \Lambda_O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix}$$

– Solution

$$\begin{bmatrix} x^*(N) \\ \lambda^*(N) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^{-N} & 0 \\ 0 & E^N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^*(0) \\ \lambda^*(0) \end{bmatrix}$$

- Since  $x^*$  goes to zero as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\lambda^*(0)$  should be zero.
- $x(k) = X_I x^*(k) = X_I E^{-k} x^*(0) \Rightarrow x^*(0) = E^k X_I^{-1} x(k)$
- $\lambda(k) = \Lambda_I x^*(k) = \Lambda_I E^{-k} x^*(0) \Rightarrow \lambda(k) = \Lambda_I X_I^{-1} x(k) = S_x x(k)$
- $u(k) = -K_x x(k)$  where  $K_x = (Q_2 + \Gamma^T S_x \Gamma)^{-1} \Gamma^T S_x \Phi$

• **Cost Equivalent**

– The cost will be dependent on the sampling time.

– If the cost equivalent is used, the dependency can be reduced.

$$\min_{u(k)} J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N [x^T(k) Q_1 x(k) + u^T(k) Q_2 u(k)] \Leftrightarrow \min_{u(k)} J_c = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{N\Delta t} [x^T Q_{c1} x + u^T Q_{c2} u] d\tau$$

$$J_c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \int_{k\Delta t}^{(k+1)\Delta t} [x^T Q_{c1} x + u^T Q_{c2} u] d\tau = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \begin{bmatrix} x^T(k) & u^T(k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{c1} & Q_{c12} \\ Q_{c21} & Q_{c22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ u(k) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\begin{bmatrix} Q_{c1} & Q_{c12} \\ Q_{c21} & Q_{c22} \end{bmatrix} = \int_0^{\Delta t} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^T(\tau) & 0 \\ \Gamma^T(\tau) & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{c1} & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{c2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi(\tau) & \Gamma(\tau) \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} d\tau$

• Van Loan (1978)

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_{c1} & Q_{c12} \\ Q_{c21} & Q_{c22} \end{bmatrix} = \Phi_{22}^T \Phi_{12} \text{ where } \Phi_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_{c1} & 0 \\ 0 & Q_{c2} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } \Phi_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi & \Gamma \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

• Computation of the continuous cost from discrete samples of the states and control is useful for comparing digital controllers of a system with different sample rates.

**Optimal Estimation**

• **Least square estimation**

– Linear static process:  $y = Hx + v$  ( $v$ : measurement error)

– Least squares solution

$$J = \frac{1}{2} v^T v = \frac{1}{2} (y - Hx)^T (y - Hx) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial J}{\partial x} = (y - Hx)^T (-H)$$

$$\Rightarrow H^T y = H^T Hx \Rightarrow \hat{x} = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T y$$

- Difference between the estimate and the actual value  
 $\hat{x} - x = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T (Hx + v) - x = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T v$
- If  $v$  has zero mean, the error has zero mean. (Unbiased estimate)
- Covariance of the estimate error  
 $P = E\{(\hat{x} - x)(\hat{x} - x)^T\} = E\{(H^T H)^{-1} H^T v v^T H (H^T H)^{-1}\}$   
 $= (H^T H)^{-1} H^T E\{v v^T\} H (H^T H)^{-1}$
- If  $v$  are uncorrelated with one another, and all the element of  $v$  have the same uncertainty,  
 $E\{v v^T\} = R = \sigma^2 I \Rightarrow P = (H^T H)^{-1} \sigma^2$

– Weighted least squares

$$J = \frac{1}{2} v^T W v = \frac{1}{2} (y - Hx)^T W (y - Hx) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial J}{\partial x} = (y - Hx)^T W (-H)$$

$$\Rightarrow H^T W y = H^T W H x \Rightarrow \hat{x} = (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W y$$

• Covariance of the estimate error

$$P = E\{(\hat{x} - x)(\hat{x} - x)^T\} = E\{(H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W v v^T W H (H^T W H)^{-1}\}$$

$$= (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W E\{v v^T\} W H (H^T W H)^{-1}$$

• Best linear unbiased estimate

- A logical choice for  $W$  is to let it be inversely proportional to  $R$ .
- Need to have a priori mean square error ( $W=R^{-1}$ )  
 $\hat{x} = (H^T R^{-1} H)^{-1} H^T R^{-1} y$
- Covariance  
 $P = (H^T R^{-1} H)^{-1}$

– Recursive least squares

- Problem (subscript  $o$ : old data,  $n$ : newly acquired data)

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_o \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} H_o \\ H_n \end{bmatrix} x - \begin{bmatrix} v_o \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

- Best estimate of  $x$ :  $\hat{x}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_o^T \\ H_n^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_o^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & R_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_o \\ H_n \end{bmatrix} \hat{x} = \begin{bmatrix} H_o^T \\ H_n^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_o^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & R_n^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_o \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

- Best estimate based on only old data

$$\hat{x}_n = \hat{x}_o + \delta \hat{x}$$

$$[H_o^T R_o^{-1} H_o] \hat{x}_o = H_o^T R_o^{-1} y_o \quad P_o = (H_o^T R_o^{-1} H_o)^{-1}$$

- Correction using new data

$$[H_n^T R_n^{-1} H_n] \hat{x}_n + [H_o^T R_o^{-1} H_o + H_n^T R_n^{-1} H_n] \delta \hat{x} = H_n^T R_n^{-1} y_n$$

$$\delta \hat{x} = [H_o^T R_o^{-1} H_o + H_n^T R_n^{-1} H_n]^{-1} H_n^T R_n^{-1} (y_n - H_n \hat{x}_o)$$

$$\delta \hat{x} = P_n H_n^T R_n^{-1} (y_n - H_n \hat{x}_o) \quad P_n = (P_o^{-1} + H_n^T R_n^{-1} H_n)^{-1}$$

• Kalman filter

- Plant:  $x(k+1) = \Phi x(k) + \Gamma u(k) + \Gamma_1 w(k); \quad y(k) = Hx(k) + v(k)$

- Process and measurement noises:  $w(k)$  and  $v(k)$

- Zero mean white noise

$$E\{w(k)\} = E\{v(k)\} = 0$$

$$E\{w(i)w^T(j)\} = E\{v(i)v^T(j)\} = 0 \quad (\text{if } i \neq j)$$

$$E\{w(k)w^T(k)\} = R_w, \quad E\{v(k)v^T(k)\} = R_v$$

- Optimal estimation ( $M=P_o, P(k)=P_n, H=H_n, R_v=R_n$ )

$$\hat{x}(k) = \bar{x}(k) + L(k)(y(k) - H\bar{x}(k))$$

$$\text{where } L(k) = P(k)H^T(k)R_v^{-1}$$

$$P(k) = [M^{-1} + H^T R_v^{-1} H]^{-1}$$

- Using matrix inversion lemma

$$P(k) = M(k) - M(k)H^T(HM(k)H^T + R_v)^{-1}HM(k)$$

where  $M(k)$  is the covariance of the state estimate before measurement.

– Covariance update

$$\bar{x}(k) = \Phi \bar{x}(k-1) + \Gamma u(k-1)$$

$$x(k+1) - \bar{x}(k+1) = \Phi(x(k) - \hat{x}(k)) + \Gamma_1 w(k)$$

$$M(k+1) = E\{(x(k+1) - \bar{x}(k+1))(x(k+1) - \bar{x}(k+1))^T\}$$

$$= E\{\Phi(x(k) - \hat{x}(k))(x(k) - \hat{x}(k))^T \Phi^T + \Gamma_1 w(k)w^T(k)\Gamma_1^T\}$$

$$P(k) = E\{(x(k) - \hat{x}(k))(x(k) - \hat{x}(k))^T\}, \quad R_w = E\{w(k)w^T(k)\}$$

$$M(k+1) = \Phi P(k)\Phi^T + \Gamma_1 R_w \Gamma_1^T$$

– Kalman filter equations

- Measurement update

$$\hat{x}(k) = \bar{x}(k) + P(k)H^T(k)R_v^{-1}(y(k) - H\bar{x}(k))$$

$$P(k) = M(k) - M(k)H^T(HM(k)H^T + R_v)^{-1}HM(k)$$

- Time update

$$\bar{x}(k+1) = \Phi \bar{x}(k) + \Gamma u(k)$$

$$M(k+1) = \Phi P(k)\Phi^T + \Gamma_1 R_w \Gamma_1^T$$

- The initial condition for state and covariance should be known.

• Tuning parameters

- Measurement noise covariance,  $R_v$ , is based on sensor accuracy.

» High  $R_v$  makes the estimate to rely less on the measurements. Thus, the measurement errors would not be reflected on the estimate too much.

» Low  $R_v$  makes the estimate to rely more on the measurements. Thus, the measurement errors changes the estimate rapidly.

- Process noise covariance,  $R_w$ , is based on process nature.

» White noise assumption is a mathematical artifact for simplification.

»  $R_w$  is crudely accounting for unknown disturbances or model error.

- Noise matrices and discrete equivalents

$$R_w = E\{w(k)w^T(k)\}, \quad R_v = E\{v(k)v^T(k)\}$$

$$E\{w(\eta)w^T(\tau)\} = R_{w_{psd}}\delta(\eta - \tau), \quad E\{v(\eta)v^T(\tau)\} = R_{v_{psd}}\delta(\eta - \tau)$$

- When  $\Delta T$  is very small compared to the system time constant ( $\tau_c$ ),

$$R_w \cong R_{w_{psd}} / \Delta T, \quad R_v = R_{v_{psd}} / \Delta T$$

$$R_{w_{psd}} \cong 2\tau_c E\{w^2(t)\}, \quad R_{v_{psd}} = 2\tau_c E\{v^2(t)\}$$

## Implementation Issues

### – Linear Quadratic Gaussian (LQG) problem

- Estimator gain will reach steady state eventually.
- Substantial simplification is possible if constant gain is adopted.
- Assumption: noise has a Gaussian distribution
- Comparison with LQR: Dual of LQG

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}(k) = \mathbf{S}(k) - \mathbf{S}(k)\Gamma\mathbf{Q}_2 + \Gamma^T\mathbf{S}(k)\Gamma^{-1}\Gamma^T\mathbf{S}(k) &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}(k) = \mathbf{M}(k) - \mathbf{M}(k)\mathbf{H}^T(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}(k)\mathbf{H}^T + \mathbf{R}_v)^{-1}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}(k) \\ \mathbf{S}(k) = \Phi^T\mathbf{M}(k+1)\Phi + \mathbf{Q}_1 &\quad \mathbf{M}(k+1) = \Phi\mathbf{P}(k)\Phi^T + \Gamma_1\mathbf{R}_w\Gamma_1^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_e = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi + \Gamma\mathbf{Q}_2^{-1}\Gamma^T\Phi^{-T}\mathbf{Q}_1 & -\Gamma\mathbf{Q}_2^{-1}\Gamma^T\Phi^{-T} \\ -\Phi^{-T}\mathbf{Q}_1 & \Phi^{-T} \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{H}_e = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^T + \mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{R}_v\mathbf{H}\Phi^{-1}\Gamma_1\mathbf{R}_w\Gamma_1^T & -\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{R}_v^{-1}\mathbf{H}\Phi^{-1} \\ -\Phi^{-1}\Gamma_1\mathbf{R}_w\Gamma_1^T & \Phi^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

### • Steady-state Kalman filter gain

$$\mathbf{S}_\infty = \Lambda_j\mathbf{X}_j^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{M}_\infty = \Lambda_j\mathbf{X}_j^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_\infty = (\mathbf{Q}_2 + \Gamma^T\mathbf{S}_\infty\Gamma)^{-1}\Gamma^T\mathbf{S}_\infty\Phi \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{L}_\infty = \mathbf{M}_\infty\mathbf{H}^T(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{M}_\infty\mathbf{H}^T + \mathbf{R}_v)^{-1}$$

where  $[\mathbf{X}_j; \Lambda_j]$  are the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{H}_e$  associated with its stable eigenvalues.

- Assumption of Gaussian noise is not necessary, but with this assumption, the LQG become maximum likelihood estimate.

### • Selection of weighting matrices $\mathbf{Q}_1$ and $\mathbf{Q}_2$

- The states enter the cost via the important outputs

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N [\mathbf{x}^T(k)\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{u}^T(k)\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{u}(k)] \Rightarrow J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N [\rho\mathbf{x}^T(k)\mathbf{H}^T\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_1\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{u}^T(k)\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{u}(k)]$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_1$  and  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  are diagonal matrices.

- The  $\rho$  is a tuning parameter deciding the relative importance between errors and input movements.
- Bryson's rule
  - $y_{i,\max}$  is the maximum deviation of the output  $y_i$ , and  $u_{i,\max}$  is the maximum value for the input  $u_i$ .

$$\bar{Q}_{1,ii} = 1/y_{i,\max}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{2,ii} = 1/u_{i,\max}^2$$

### • Pincer Procedure

- If all the poles are inside a circle of radius  $1/\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 1$ ), every transient in the closed loop will decay at least as fast as  $1/\alpha^k$ .

$$J_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\mathbf{x}^T(k)\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{x}(k) + \mathbf{u}^T(k)\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{u}(k)]\alpha^{2k}$$

$$J_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [(\alpha^k\mathbf{x})^T\mathbf{Q}_1(\alpha^k\mathbf{x}) + (\alpha^k\mathbf{u})^T\mathbf{Q}_2(\alpha^k\mathbf{u})] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\mathbf{z}^T\mathbf{Q}_1\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{v}^T(k)\mathbf{Q}_2\mathbf{v}] \alpha^{2k}$$

where  $\mathbf{z}(k) = \alpha^k\mathbf{x}(k)$ ,  $\mathbf{v}(k) = \alpha^k\mathbf{u}(k)$ .

### – The state equation

$$\alpha^{k+1}\mathbf{x}(k+1) = \alpha^{k+1}(\Phi\mathbf{x}(k) + \Gamma\mathbf{u}(k)) \Rightarrow \mathbf{z}(k+1) = \alpha\Phi(\alpha^k\mathbf{x}(k)) + \alpha\Gamma(\alpha^k\mathbf{u}(k))$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{z}(k+1) = \alpha\Phi\mathbf{z}(k) + \alpha\Gamma\mathbf{v}(k)$$

### – State feedback control (LQR)

- Find the feedback gain for system  $(\alpha\Phi, \alpha\Gamma)$ 

$$\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{z} \Rightarrow \alpha^k\mathbf{u}(k) = -\mathbf{K}(\alpha^k\mathbf{x}(k)) \Rightarrow \mathbf{u}(k) = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}(k)$$

- Choice of  $\alpha$ :  $\mathbf{x}(t_s/\Delta T) \approx \mathbf{x}(0)(1/\alpha)^k \leq 0.01\mathbf{x}(0) \Rightarrow \alpha > 100^{1/k} = 100^{\Delta T/t_s}$