Applied Statistical Mechanics Lecture Note - 6

Molecular Mechanics - Force Field Method

고려대학교 화공생명공학과 강정원

Introduction

■ Force Field Method vs. Electronic Structure Method

■ Force field method : based on Molecular Mechanics

 \Box Electronic structure method : based on Quantum Mechanics

Force Field Method

Problem

- \Box Calculating energy for given structure
- \Box Finding stable geometry of molecules
	- Energy optimum of saddle point
- Molecules are modeled as atoms held together with bonds
	- \Box "Ball and spring model "
- Bypassing the electronic Schrödinger equation
- Quantum effects of nuclear motions are neglected
- \blacksquare The atom is treated by classical mechanics \rightarrow Newton's second law of motion

Force Field Methods

■ Validation of FF methods

- \Box Molecules tend to be composed of units which are structurally similar in different molecules
	- Ex) C-H bond
		- bond length : 1.06 1.10 A
		- stretch vibrations : 2900 3300 /cm
	- Heat of formation for $CH_3 (CH2)_n CH_3$ molecules
		- Almost straight line when plotted against n
- Molecules are composed of structural units
	- \rightarrow "Functional groups"

Example MM2 atom types

The Force Field Energy

 E_{cross} : coupling between the first three terms

$$
E_{FF} = E_{str} + E_{bend} + E_{tor} + E_{vdw} + E_{el} + E_{cross}
$$

DR 82 The stretch energy 고려대학교 **BA** E_{str} : The energy function for stretching a bond between tow atom type A and B Equilibrium bond length \rightarrow Minimum energy Taylor series expansion around equilibrium bond length **0 at minimum energy Set to 0**

$$
E_{str}(R^{AB}-R_0^{AB})=E(0)+\frac{dE}{dR}(R^{AB}-R_0^{AB})+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2E}{dR^2}(R^{AB}-R_0^{AB})^2+...
$$

 $\sum_{str} (R^{AB} - R_0^{AB}) = k^{AB} (R^{AB} - R_0^{AB})^2 = k^{AB} (\Delta R^{AB})^2$ **: Harmonic Oscillator**

The stretch energy

- The harmonic form is the simplest possible form
- When the bond is stretched to longer r, the predicted energy is not realistic
- **Polynomial expansion**

 $(\Delta R^{AB}) = k_2^{AB} (\Delta R^{AB})^2 + k_3^{AB} (\Delta R^{AB})^3 + k_4^{AB} (\Delta R^{AB})^4 + ...$ $\delta_3^{AB}(\Delta R^{AB})^3$ $E_{str}(\Delta R^{AB}) = k_2^{AB}(\Delta R^{AB})^2 + k_3^{AB}(\Delta R^{AB})^3 + k_4^{AB}(\Delta R^{AB})^4 +$

- □ More parameters
- □ The limiting behavior is not correct for some cases ($3rd$ order, $5th$ order,...)
- \Box Special care needed for optimization (negative energy for long distance)

ENGE 159

고려대학교

The stretch energy

 The Morse Potential $\alpha = \sqrt{k}/2D$ $E_{\mu\nu}(\Delta R^{AB}) = D[1-e]$ $\sum_{str}(\Delta R^{AB}) = D[1 - e^{\alpha \Delta R}]^2$ Δ α

> \Box *D*: Dissociation energy

 \Box Accurate actual behavior

 \Box Problem

- \bullet More computation time evaluating exponential term
- \bullet Starting from poor geometry, slow convergence

Popular method : nth order expansion of the Morse Potential

$$
E_{str}(\Delta R^{AB}) = k^{AB} (\Delta R^{AB})^2 [1 - \alpha (\Delta R^{AB}) + \frac{7}{12} \alpha^2 (\Delta R^{AB})^2]
$$

The Bending Energy

- *Ebend* : The energy required for bending an angle formed by three atoms A-B-C
- Harmonic Approximation

$$
E_{bend}(\theta^{ABC} - \theta_0^{ABC}) = k^{ABC}(\theta^{ABC} - \theta_0^{ABC})^2
$$

 Improvement can be observed when more terms are included

 \Box Adjusting higher order term to fixed fraction

 For most applications, simple harmonic approximation is quite adequate \Box MM3 force field : $6th$ term

The bending energy

- Angels where the central atom is di- or trivalent (ethers, alcohols, sulfiteds, amines), represents a special problem
	- □ \Box an angle of 180 degree \rightarrow energy maximum

. . at least order of three

- Refinement over a simple harmonic potential clearly improve the overall performance.
- They have little advantage in the chemically important region (10 kcal/mol above minimum)

The out-of-plane bending energy

■ *sp*²-hybridized atoms (ABCD)

- \Box there is a significant energy penalty associated with making the center pyramidal
- \Box ABD, ABD, CBD angle distortion should reflect the energy cost associated with pyramidization

$$
E_{\text{oop}}(\chi_B) = k^B (\chi_B)^2
$$

The torsion energy

- Angle of rotation around B-C bond for four atoms sequence A-B-C-D
- Difference between stretch and bending energy
	- \Box The energy function must be periodic win the angle ω
	- \Box The cost of energy for distortion is of low
		- Large deviation from minimum can occur
- **Exercises** Expansion

$$
E_{tors}(\omega) = \sum_{n=1} V_n \cos(n\omega)
$$

The torsion energy

$$
E_{tors}(\omega) = \sum_{n=1} V_n \cos(n\omega)
$$

 $\overline{}$ **• Depending on the situation some of** V_n **terms are set to 0**

n=1 : periodic by 360 degree

n=2 : periodic by 170 degree

 \Box *n*=3 : periodic by 120 degree

 \Box Ethane : three minima and three maxima

• $n = 3, 6, 9, ...$ can have V_n

The van der Waals energy

- $E_{\nu d\nu}$: energy describing the repulsion and attraction between atoms : non-bonded energy
	- \Box Interaction energy not related to electrostatic energy due to atomic charges
	- \Box Repulsion and attraction
		- Small distance, very repulsive \rightarrow overlap of electron cloud
		- Intermediate distance, slight attraction \rightarrow electron correlation
			- motion of electrons create temporarily induced dipole moment

Van der Waals Attraction

1930, London

- \Box "Dispersion" or "London" force
- \Box Correlation of electronic fluctations

 \Box Explained attraction as induced dipole interaction

$$
E_{vdw}^{attr}(R) \propto \frac{C}{R^6} + O(R^{-8})
$$

10 8 6 1induced quadrupole - quadrupole interaction ∞ -1induced dipole - quadrupole interaction ∞ -1induced dipole - dipole interaction ∞ -*RRR*∝ ∝ ∝

- model
- a a Two popular treatment
	- Inverse power
		- Typically $n = 9 12$

$$
E_{vdw}^{rep}(R) \propto \frac{C}{R^n}
$$

DR 82

- □ Exponential
	- \bullet Two parameters A, B

 $E^{rep}_{vdw}(R) \propto A e^{-BR}$

Van der Waals Energy Repulsion $+$ Atrraction gives two model

Lennard-Jones potential

$$
E_{LJ}(R) = \mathcal{E}\left[\left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^{12} - 2\left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^6\right]
$$

 \Box Exp-6 potential

• Also known as "Buckingham" or "Hill" type potential

DR 82

고려대학교

$$
E_{\nu dw}(R) = Ae^{-BR} - \frac{C}{R^6} = \mathcal{E}\left[\frac{6}{\alpha - 6}e^{\alpha(1 - R/R_0)} - \frac{6}{\alpha - 6}\left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^6\right]
$$

Comparison

Morse Potential

Problems

 $\alpha = \sqrt{k/2D}$ $E_{str}(\Delta R^{AB}) = D[1 - e^{\alpha \Delta R}]^2$

Inversion Overestimating repulsion

Why LJ potential is preferred ?

- **Multiplications are much faster than exponential** calculation
- **Parameters are meaningful than the other models**
- Diatomic parameters

$$
\Delta R_0^{AB} = \frac{1}{2} (R_0^A + R_0^B)
$$

$$
\varepsilon^{AB} = \sqrt{\varepsilon^A + \varepsilon^B}
$$

The electrostatic Energy

- Iternal distribution of electrons \Box positive and negative part of molecule \Box long range force than van der Waals
- Two modeling approaches
	- \Box Point charges

□ Bond Dipole Description

Point charge method

- Assign Columbic charges to several points of molecules
- Total charge sum to charges on the molecule
- Atomic charges are treated as fitting parameters \Box Obtained from electrostatic potential calculated by electronic structure method (QM)

$$
E_{el}(R^{AB}) = \frac{Q_A Q_B}{\varepsilon R^{AB}}
$$

Bond Dipole description

Interaction between two dipole

$$
E_{el}(R^{AB}) = \frac{\mu_A \mu_B}{\varepsilon (R^{AB})^3} (\cos \chi - 3 \cos \alpha_A \cos \alpha_B)
$$

- MM2 and MM3 uses bond dipole description
- Point charge vs. Bond Dipole model
	- □ There is little difference if properly parameterized
	- ш The atomic charge model is easier to parameterize by fitting an electronic wave function \rightarrow preferred by almost all force field

Multibody interaction

- Unlike van der Waals interaction, the three body interaction is quite significant for polar species
- ш Two method
	- \Box Explicit multibody interaction
		- Axilrod Teller

$$
u(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3) = \frac{3}{2} \frac{E \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}{r_{12}^3 r_{23}^3 r_{13}^3} (3 \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 + 1)
$$

- \Box Atom Polarization
	- Electrostatic interaction $=$ (Intrisic contribution) $+$ (dipolar term arising from the other atomic charges)
	- \bullet Solved iterative self-consistent calculation

Cross terms

Bonds, angles and torsions are not isolated \Box They couple with one another **Example** \Box Stretch/bend coupling \Box Stretch/stretch coupling \Box Bend/bend coupling \Box Stretch/torsion coupling □ Bend/torsion coupling **□Bend/torsion/bend coupling ...**

Small rings

Small rings present a problem

 \Box their equilibrium angles are very different from those of their acyclic cousins

Methods

- \Box Assign new atom types
- **Adding sufficient parameters in cross terms**

Conjugated systems

Butadiene (C=C-C=C)

- \Box Same set of parameters are used for all carbon atoms
- \Box Bond length of terminal and central bonds are different (1.35 A and 1.47 A)
- \Box Delocalization of pi-electrons in the conjugated system
- Approaches
	- \Box Identifying bond combination and use specialized parameters
	- \Box Perform simple electronic structure calculation
		- Implemented in MM2 / MM3 (MMP2 and MMP3)
		- Electronic structure calculation method (Pariser-Pople-Parr (PPP) type) : Extended Hückel calculation
		- Requires additional second level of iteration in geometry optimization

If the system of interest contains conjugation, a FF which uses the parameter replacement is chosen, the user should check that the proper bond length and reasonable rotation barrier !

Comparing Energies of Different Molecules

- The numerical value of force-field energy has no meaning !
	- \Box Zero point energy has been chosen for convenienece
	- □ It is inconsequential for comparing energies of different conformation
	- E_{FF} : " steric energy"
- Heat of formation
	- □ Bond dissociation energy for each bond type
	- □ To achieve better fit, parameters may also be assigned to larger units (groups : CH_{3}^- ,...)

$$
\Delta H_f = E_{FF} + \sum_{bonds} \Delta H_{AB} + \sum_{groups} \Delta H_G
$$

- MM2/MM3 attemped to parameterize heat of formation
- Other force fields are only concerned with producing geometries

Force Field Parameterization

- Numerical Values of parameters
- Example : MM2 (71 atom types)
	- \Box For one parameters at least 3-4 independent data are required
	- \Box \Box Require order of 10⁷ independent experimental data \rightarrow Impossible
	- \Box ■ Rely on electronic structure calculation ("Class II" force field)

Force Field Parameterization

■ There are large number of possible compounds for which there are no parameters

 \Box The situation is not as bad as it would appear

- Although about 0.2 % of possible torsional constants have been parameterized,
- About 20 % of 15 million known compound can be modeled by MM2

Universal Force Field

…

- **Many atom type, lack of sufficient reference data** \rightarrow Development of *Universal Force Field* (UFF)
- Derive di-, tri-, tetra- atomic parameters from atomic constant (Reduced parameter form)

ш Atomic properties : atom radii, ionization potential, electronegativity, polarizability, …

- In principle, capable of covering molecules composed of elecments from the whole periodic table
- ■ Less accurate result presently \ldots → likely to be improved

Force Fields…

Notation: Pn: Polynomial of order n; Pn(cos): polynomial of order n in cosine to the angle; $cos(n\theta)$: Found term(s) in cosine to the angle; Exp. –6: exponential $+R^{-6}$; n-m: $R^{-n} + R^{-m}$; fixed: not a variable; $\lim_{n \to \infty}$ improper torsional angle; ss: stretch-stretch; bb: bend-bend; sb: stretch-bend; st: stretch-torsional; bs; bend-torsional; tt: torsional-torsional; btb: bend-torsional-bend. Ω

(continue B

 $\overline{1}$