# **SO2 & NOx** 동시 제거 반응에서 **ClO2** 공급 속도에 따른 영향

<u>진동섭</u>, 박보령, 조항대, 최원길, Bal Raj Deshwal<sup>1</sup>, 박영성 <sup>2</sup>, 이형근<sup>\*</sup> 한국에너지기술연구원 청정에너지연구부, 1 인도 A. I. J. H. M. 대학 화학과,<br>- <sup>2</sup> 대전대학교 환경공학과 (hklee@kier.re.kr\* )

### **Effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> Feeding Rate on Simultaneous Reaction of SO<sub>2</sub> & NO<sub>x</sub> Removal by ClO<sub>2</sub>**

Dong-Seop Jin, Bo-Ryoung Park, Hang-Dae Cho, Won-Kil Choi, Bal Raj Deshwal<sup>1</sup>, Young-Seong Park<sup>2</sup>, and Hyung-Keun Lee<sup>\*</sup>

Clean Energy Research Department, Korea Institute of Energy Research, <sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, A. I. J. H. M. College, India, <sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Engineering, Daejeon University  $(hklee@kier.re.kr^*)$ 

#### **1. Introduction**

Since  $19<sup>th</sup>$  century industry revolution, about 85% of the world energy has been dependent on fossil fuels including a coal, petroleum and natural gas etc. and also it will remain as one of the major sources of energy forever. Toxic emissions like  $SO_x$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $CO$  and HC are formed by combustion of the fossil fuel from incinerators, boilers, power plants and so on. Particularly,  $SO_x$  and  $NO_x$  are the major pollutants. Recently, it has become dire need to remove NO<sub>x</sub>, because NO<sub>x</sub> is increasing significantly. The emission of  $SO_x$  and  $NO_x$  is a major environmental concern because of their hazardous effects on human health such as respiratory problems and the ecosystems such as acid rain. Nitrogen oxides are also responsible for stratospheric ozone layers ruin, smog and visibility problems. So the effective reduction of NO<sub>y</sub> emissions from both stationary and mobile sources poses a major challenge.

Chlorine-dioxide is yellowish green gas which has emerged as highly efficient chemical agent for bleaching, oxidation and disinfection. In this experiment, Chlorine-dioxide has been generated by reduction of chlorate with sodium chloride in a relatively concentrated acid solution in the manner described by Deshwal and Lee [2004] and Hong et al. [1967]. The general stiochiometry of this reaction can be expressed as follows:

 $2NaClO<sub>3</sub> + 2NaCl + 2H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> \rightarrow 2ClO<sub>2</sub> + Cl<sub>2</sub> + 2Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> + 2H<sub>2</sub>O$  (1)

Since sodium chlorate is very stable and does not decompose even in 10 to 12N sulfuric acid solution, so a solution of sodium chlorate in relatively concentrated acid is filled first in the reactor. Solid NaClO<sub>3</sub> can also be added directly into 10 to 12N sulfuric acid solution. Thereafter, NaCl solution is injected continuously at a suitable rate with the help of syringe pump or peristaltic pump. Chlorine-dioxide formation starts immediately on addition of sodium chloride. So chlorine-dioxide generation can be controlled by NaCl injection rate. The object of the present study is to know the effect of ClO<sub>2</sub> feeding rate in the simultaneous removal of  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$ .

#### **2. Experimental Section**

 The experimental system is divided into two parts i.e. chlorine dioxide generation unit and flue gas treatment unit. Chlorine dioxide generation unit is composed of a reactor which is a well stirred sealed vessel having total volume of 2.5L. Concentrated sodium chloride solution (1M) was continuously injected into reactor at suitable flow rates (0.5, 0.8, 1 or 2 ml/min) by syringe pump. The reactor was filled with 1.5L solution of sodium chlorate (0.2M) in a relatively concentrated sulfuric acid  $\sim$ 12N). Continuous stirring was provided by a mechanical agitator. Temperature of the reaction vessel was controlled within  $45 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C by water thermostat. The reactor was wrapped with an aluminium foil to avoid any photo-dissociation of chlorine dioxide. Nitrogen gas was purged through the reaction mixture using a bubbling device at a flow rate of  $2L/min$ . Chlorine-dioxide carried by N<sub>2</sub> gas was further introduced into bubbling reactor.

Flue gas cleansing unit composed of simulated flue gas supply system, bubbling reactor, pH control system,  $CIO_2$  absorber, data acquisition system, and sampling cum analysis system. The simulated flue gas was obtained by controlled mixing of  $SO_2$ ,  $NO$ ,  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  using mass flow controllers. Continuous stirring was provided by mechanical agitator with a speed of 250rpm. Temperature of the reaction vessel was controlled within  $45\pm0.1^{\circ}$ C. The pH of reaction solution was controlled at 3.5 by using an auto-pH control system by continuous addition of NaOH (0.2M) solution with the help of peristalsis pump. The chlorine dioxide absorber (2L vessel) consisted of ca. 2% carbonate buffered potassium iodide solution (1.5L). Samples from reactor and absorber were analyzed using either ion chromatograph (IC) or iodometrically with the help of auto-titrator (Metrohm-Swiss). The  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  concentrations of inflow gas were analyzed using the gas analyzers (Ultramat 23, Siemens, Germany and Model: 42C, Thermo Environmental Instruments Inc., USA) after removing its moisture in the sample conditioner.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

Experiments were carried out at input  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration of 500ppm, input NO concentration of 350ppm, pH of 3.5 and fixed temperature of 45 $^{\circ}$ C to investigate the simultaneous removal of sulfur dioxide and nitric oxide using chlorine-dioxide. Concentrated sodium chloride solution (1M) was injected continuously into reactor at 0.5, 0.8, 1 and 2ml/min respectively with the help of syringe pump. Chlorine-dioxide formation started immediately on addition of concentrated sodium chloride. Thereafter, generated chlorine-dioxide came in bubbling reactor by using nitrogen gas (2L/min) as a carrier gas for further removal of  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$ .

### **3.1. Rate of chlorine-dioxide generation with injection rate of sodium chloride**

The rate of chlorine-dioxide generatoion depends on several factors like molar concentration of sulfuric acid, molar concentration of sodium chlorate, molar concentration of sodium chloride, volume of the reaction solution and injection rate, temperature and quantity of sodium chloride and so on. In this experiment, chlorine-dioxide generation rate was investigated with the injection rate of sodium chloride solution. Fig. 1 shows the generated chlorine-dioxide with time at various injection rates of sodium chloride solution. Here, generated chlorine-dioxide indicates the inflow concentration of  $CIO_2$  into the bubbling reactor. Inflow concentration of  $CIO_2$  was determined at various injection rate of sodium chloride i.e 0.5, 0.8, 1 and 2ml/min. Supply of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  was stabilized after about 20 minute of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  generation. The rate of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  generation can be determines at any time from the equation that fits the curve best.

### **3.2. Simultaneous removal of sulfur dioxide and nitric oxide**

Simultaneous removal of  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  and NO was also studied at input  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  and NO concentration of 500 and 350ppm respectively at pH of 3.5 at 45 $^{\circ}$ C. SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiencies are plotted with time on the various feeding rate of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  in Fig. 2. Sulfur dioxide was removed about 95% by using NaOH and force air as oxidizing agent. When  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  is passed into bubbling reactor, removal efficiency of SO2 was increased to about 99%. The reaction between chlorine-dioxide and SO2 can be expressed as [Sada, and Kumazawa, 1978]:



NOx removal starts only after achieving  $100\%$  SO<sub>2</sub> removal. It is of course due to more reactivity of SO<sub>2</sub> compared to  $NO_x$ . The  $NO_x$  removal efficiency at various ClO<sub>2</sub> feeding rate is plotted in Fig. 3. Almost no  $NO_x$  removal has noticed at the feeding rate of  $ClO_2$  from 0 to 0.5mmol/min.

However,  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  removal was around 50% at ClO<sub>2</sub> feeding rate of 0.73mmol/minute and it increased to around  $70\%$  at ClO<sub>2</sub> feeding rate of 1.11mmol/min. When ClO<sub>2</sub> feeding rate was further increased to 2.03mmol/min, removal efficiency of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  didn't show any significant improvement. In Fig. 3, the output concentrations of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  are plotted against the various  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  feeding rates. NO oxidation increased with increasing  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  feeding rate and it has been found that  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  can oxidize NO into NO<sub>2</sub> completely if it has sufficient concentration. The consistent and reproducible  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  absorption efficiency of about 70% is maintained during the course of experiment. NO<sub>x</sub> removal by ClO<sub>2</sub> can be expressed as follows:



 $5NO + 3ClO<sub>2</sub> + 4H<sub>2</sub>O \rightarrow 5HNO<sub>3</sub> + 3HCl$  (8)

## **3.3. Utilization of chlorine-dioxide for removal of SO<sub>2</sub> & NO<sub>x</sub>**

Fig. 4 shows the utilization of chlorine-dioxide at various feeding rate of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$ . Utilization of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  was calculated from difference between input  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  and output  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$ . In case of 0.55mmol/min  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$ , it was found that there was about 99% utilization because  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  evolved from the reactor is negligible. However, utilization of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  decreased with increasing feeding rate of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  as indicated by increasing output  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$ .

## **3.4. Analysis of ion in the reactor after removal of**  $SO_2 \& NO_x$

Formation of sulfate, nitrate and chloride as suggested above in the equations 2 to 8 is confirmed by analyzing the sample from bubbling reactor using ion chromatograph and variation of ion concentration with time is presented in Fig 5. And also, relation between calculated  $SO_4^2$  and experimental  $SO_4^2$  is plotted in Fig 6.

#### **4. Conclusions**

*Effect of ClO2 feeding rate on SO2 and NOx removal* 

Chlorine dioxide has several advantages for simultaneous removal of  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  and NO. The effect of feeding rate of chlorine dioxide can be summarized as:

- The  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  generation rate depends on injection rate of NaCl,
- 99% SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency, 100% NO oxidation and about 70% NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency has been achieved at optimum conditions.
- Removal efficiency of  $NO_x$  increased up to  $ClO_2$  feeding rate of 1.11mmol/min and became constant thereafter.
- Utilization of  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  decreased with increasing  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$  feeding rate.
- Calculated  $SO_4^2$  has been found equal to the experimental  $SO_4^2$  proving the mass balance.

#### **References**

- Deshwal, B.R., Lee, H.-K., "Kinetics and mechanism of chloride based chlorine dioxide generation process from acidic sodium chlorate", J. Hazard. Mater. **B108**, 173-182 (2004).
- Deshwal, B.R., Lee, H.-K., "Variation in ClO<sub>2</sub>/Cl<sub>2</sub> Ratio in Chloride-Chlorate Process under Different Conditions", Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 10, **4**, 667-673(2004).
- Hong, C.C., Lenzi, F., Rapson, W.H., "The kinetics and mechanism of the chloride-chlorate reaction", Can. J. Chem. Eng. **45**, 349-355 (1967).
- Hwang, Taek-Sung., Kim, Young-Su., Park, Jin-Won and Lee, Hyung-Keun., "Adsorption Properties of NO on PAN-based Fibrous Ion Exchanger and Its Potential for Air Purification", Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 10, **4**, 504-510(2004).
- Sada, E., and Kumazawa, H., "Absorption of Lean NO in Aqueous Slurries of Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> with NaClO<sub>2</sub> or Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub> with NaClO<sub>2</sub>", Chem. Eng. Sci., **34**, 719-724 (1978).

1729





Fig. 1. Rate of chlorine dioxide generation with time. Fig.2. Removal efficiency of  $SO_2 \& NO_x$  with time at various feeding rates of chlorine-dioxide.



Fig. 3. Output concentration  $\&$  removal efficiency of NO<sub>x</sub> at various ClO<sub>2</sub> feeding rates.



Fig. 5. Variation in ion concentration on passing  $ClO<sub>2</sub>$ in the simultaneous removal  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $NO<sub>x</sub>$ .



Fig.4. Utilization of chlorine-dioxide at various ClO<sub>2</sub> feeding rates.



Fig. 6 Comparison between calculated and experimental sulfate